

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008**

**OR**

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission File Number 001-32563**

**Orchids Paper Products Company**

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**23-2956944**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**4826 Hunt Street  
Pryor, Oklahoma 74361**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

**(918) 825-0616**  
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Number of shares outstanding of the issuer's Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share, as of November 7, 2008: 6,328,986 shares.

---

---

ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY  
TABLE OF CONTENTS  
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q  
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2007</u>	3
<u>Statements of Income for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited) and the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Interim Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	9
<u>ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	24
<u>ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	24
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	25
<u>ITEM 1A. Risk Factors</u>	25
<u>ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	25
<u>ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	26
<u>ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	26
<u>ITEM 5. Other Information</u>	26
<u>ITEM 6. Exhibits</u>	26
<u>Signatures</u>	27
<u>EX-10.1</u>	
<u>EX-10.2</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	

**PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY  
BALANCE SHEETS  
(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>December 31, 2007</b>
	(unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 12	\$ 3
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$146 in 2008 and \$100 in 2007	8,048	5,527
Inventories, net	5,960	4,874
Income taxes receivable	—	24
Prepaid expenses	602	381
Deferred income taxes	516	516
Total current assets	15,138	11,325
Property, plant and equipment	69,058	64,899
Accumulated depreciation	(10,352)	(8,043)
Net property, plant and equipment	58,706	56,856
Deferred debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$595 in 2008 and \$570 in 2007	97	122
Total assets	<u>\$ 73,941</u>	<u>\$ 68,303</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,034	\$ 4,760
Accrued liabilities	2,950	2,460
Current portion of long-term debt	2,728	2,391
Total current liabilities	10,712	9,611
Long-term debt, less current portion	23,379	23,264
Deferred income taxes	8,613	7,386
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, 6,328,986 and 6,322,648 issues and outstanding in 2008 and 2007, respectively	6	6
Additional paid-in capital	22,160	21,879
Common stock warrants	134	141
Retained earnings	8,937	6,016
Total stockholders' equity	31,237	28,042
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 73,941</u>	<u>\$ 68,303</u>

See notes to financial statements.

**ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY**  
**STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
	(unaudited)	(unaudited)	(unaudited)	(unaudited)
	(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)			
Net sales	\$ 23,312	\$ 19,218	\$ 65,902	\$ 54,370
Cost of sales	19,518	15,997	56,297	46,664
Gross profit	3,794	3,221	9,605	7,706
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,551	1,296	4,427	3,525
Operating income	2,243	1,925	5,178	4,181
Interest expense	310	635	1,041	2,216
Other income, net	(3)	(2)	(9)	(29)
Income before income taxes	1,936	1,292	4,146	1,994
Provision for income taxes:				
State (refund)	(2)	—	(2)	—
Current	—	—	—	—
Deferred	515	156	1,227	246
Net income	\$ 1,423	\$ 1,136	\$ 2,921	\$ 1,748
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.28
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.27
Shares used in calculating net income per share:				
Basic	6,328,986	6,234,346	6,328,343	6,234,346
Diluted	6,499,686	6,421,111	6,526,511	6,425,527

See notes to financial statements.

**ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY**  
**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
	(unaudited)	(unaudited)
	(Dollars in thousands)	
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 2,921	\$ 1,748
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,334	2,404
Provision for doubtful accounts	46	15
Deferred income taxes	1,227	246
Stock option plan expense	259	331
Changes in cash due to changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(2,568)	(975)
Inventories	(1,085)	(484)
Income taxes receivable	24	1,218
Prepaid expenses	(221)	(166)
Accounts payable	274	255
Accrued liabilities	490	518
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,701	5,110
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(4,159)	(477)
Proceeds from the sale of restricted certificate of deposit	—	1,500
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(4,159)	1,023
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		
New borrowings on long-term debt	—	26,500
Retirement of borrowings on long-term debt	—	(25,866)
Principal payments on long-term debt	(1,668)	(1,720)
Net borrowings (repayments) on revolving credit line	2,120	(4,903)
Proceeds from the exercise of warrants attached to subordinated debentures	15	—
Deferred debt issuance costs	—	(144)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	467	(6,133)
Net change in cash	9	—
Cash, beginning of period	3	3
Cash, end of period	\$ 12	\$ 3
<b>Supplemental Disclosure:</b>		
Interest paid	\$ 963	\$ 2,117
Income tax refund	\$ 2	\$ —

See notes to financial statements.

**ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY**  
**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1 — Basis of Presentation**

Orchids Paper Products Company (“Orchids” or the “Company”) was formed in 1998 to acquire and operate the paper manufacturing facility, built in 1976, in Pryor, Oklahoma. Orchids Acquisition Group, Inc. (“Orchids Acquisition”) was established in November 2003, for the purpose of acquiring the common stock of Orchids. Orchids Acquisition closed the sale of its equity and debt securities on March 1, 2004, and immediately thereafter closed the acquisition of Orchids. In April 2005, Orchids Acquisition merged with and into Orchids, with Orchids as the surviving entity.

On July 20, 2005, the Company completed its public offering of 3,234,375 shares of its common stock. The public offering price of the shares was \$5.33. The net proceeds from the offering were \$15,011,000 after deducting the underwriting discount and offering expenses. The Company’s stock trades on the American Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol “TIS.”

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared without an audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations. However, the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading when read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto. Management believes that the financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. All adjustments were of a normal, recurring nature. The results of operations for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year.

For income statement purposes, the Company reclassified certain expenses from selling, general and administrative expenses to cost of sales at year-end 2007. The income statements for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 have been restated to conform to the revised classification.

**Note 2 — Commitments and Contingencies**

On August 1, 2007, the Company received a new water discharge permit that requires the Company to expand its existing pre-treatment facility to reduce biological oxygen demand and total suspended solids from its discharge water. Under the permit, the Company is required to complete the expansion and make operational its pre-treatment facility by August 1, 2009. The engineering phase of the project has been completed and the project is expected to cost approximately \$4.3 million. The cost of this facility is expected to be financed through a \$3.0 million construction loan from the Company’s bank lenders and from cash generated by the Company. As of September 30, 2008, there were no amounts outstanding under this loan. This loan was included in the bank debt refinancing that closed in April 2007. Based upon the engineering work completed to date, the Company expects the project to be fully operational by July 2009.

In March 2008, the Company announced that its board of directors had approved a capital expenditure of \$4.75 million to automate certain processes in its converting operation. The

## [Table of Contents](#)

project will involve the purchase of case packers, conveyors and case unitizing equipment and should be operational by the fourth quarter of 2008. Committed capital expenditures not yet paid for in connection with this project totaled approximately \$1.2 million at September 30, 2008.

### Note 3 — Earnings per Share

The computation of basic and diluted net income per share for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net income — (\$ thousands)	\$ 1,423	\$ 1,136	\$ 2,921	\$ 1,748
Weighted average shares outstanding	6,328,986	6,234,346	6,328,343	6,234,346
Effect of stock options	65,500	84,819	82,065	90,999
Effect of dilutive warrants	105,200	101,946	116,103	100,182
Weighted average shares outstanding — assuming dilution	6,499,686	6,421,111	6,526,511	6,425,527
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.28
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.27
Stocks options not considered above because they were anti-dilutive	53,750		86,250	

### Note 4 — Stock Incentive Plan

In April 2005, the board of directors and the stockholders approved the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the “Plan”). The Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options to employees selected by the board’s compensation committee. The Plan authorized up to 697,500 shares to be issued. In May 2008, the shareholders approved increasing the number of authorized shares under the Plan from 697,500 to 897,500. The compensation committee in April 2005 awarded options for 405,000 shares to officers of the Company at an exercise price of \$5.33, which was equal to the initial public offering price of the stock. The options vest 20% on the date of grant and then ratably 20% over the following four years and have a ten-year term. All share and per share amounts have been adjusted for the 3-for-2 stock split that was affected in July 2006. During the third quarter of 2007, unvested options for 93,000 shares were forfeited by Michael Sage, the Company’s former President and CEO who retired effective July 15, 2007. Mr. Sage exercised his vested options covering 139,500 shares in October 2007. Options for 225,000 shares were granted effective August 20, 2007, to Robert Snyder, the Company’s President and CEO, at an exercise price of \$6.81, the market price on the date of the grant. The options vest 20% on the date of grant and then ratably 20% over the following four years and have a ten-year term.

The following table details the options granted to certain members of the board of directors and management during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

Grant Date	Number of Shares	Exercise Price	Risk-Free Interest Rate	Estimated Volatility	Dividend Yield	Expected Life
Feb-07	3,750	\$ 8.58	4.83%	40%	None	5 years
Jun-07	28,750	\$ 5.18	5.09%	38%	None	5 years
Aug-07	225,000	\$ 6.81	4.63%	40%	None	5-7 years
May-08	28,750	\$ 7.48	3.78%	41%	None	5 years
Jun-08	20,000	\$ 7.80	3.98%	40%	None	5-7 years

## [Table of Contents](#)

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, options valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility.

In connection with the approval of the Plan, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (R) "Share-Based Payments" and expenses the cost of options granted over the vesting period of the option based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The Company recognized an expense of \$54,000 and \$163,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, related to options granted under the Plan. The Company recognized an expense of \$259,000 and \$331,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, related to options granted under the Plan.

### **Note 5 — Major Customers and Concentration of Credit Risk**

The Company sells primarily all of its paper production in the form of converted products; however, following the start-up of a new paper machine in the summer of 2006, the Company had excess paper production which it began to sell in parent roll form. Revenues from converted product sales and parent roll sales in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were:

	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
	(in thousands)			
Converted product net sales	\$ 19,300	\$ 16,482	\$ 53,798	\$ 48,068
Parent roll net sales	4,012	2,736	12,104	6,302
Total net sales	\$ 23,312	\$ 19,218	\$ 65,902	\$ 54,370

Credit risk for the Company is concentrated in four significant customers. Three are converted product customers, each of whom operates discount retail stores located throughout the United States and one customer who accounts for most of the Company's third party sales of parent rolls. During the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, sales to the four significant customers accounted for approximately 67% and 65% of the Company's total sales, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, sales to the four significant customers accounted for approximately 68% and 69% of the Company's total sales, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, approximately \$5.6 million (68%) and \$4.7 million (75%) of accounts receivable was due from these four significant customers. No other customers of the Company accounted for more than 10% of sales during these periods. The Company generally does not require collateral from its customers and has not incurred any significant losses on uncollectible accounts receivable.

### **Note 6 — New Accounting Standards**

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") periodically issues new accounting standards in a continuing effort to improve standards of financial accounting and reporting. The Company has reviewed the recently issued pronouncements and concluded that the following new accounting standards could be applicable to the Company:

In December 2007, the FASB revised SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations" to clarify certain issues regarding business combinations. The major impact on the Company would be the



## [Table of Contents](#)

requirement to write-off certain costs of completing an acquisition as incurred. The standard is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2008.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160 “Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements.” At present this standard would not apply to the Company as it has no related entities which qualify for consolidation. The standard is effective for minority interest accounting for periods beginning after December 15, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 157-3, “Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active.” As Orchids does not currently own any such assets, this pronouncement is not applicable to the Company.

### **Note 7 — Subsequent Events**

On November 5, 2008, the Company amended its credit agreement with its existing bank group. The amended terms are as follows:

- the capital expenditures facility was increased to \$4.0 million from \$3.0 million, with advances based on 90% of costs as compared to 80% of costs prior to the amendment;
- the capital expenditures covenant limit for 2008 was increased to \$6.4 million from \$6.25 million, and was increased to \$2.0 million annually thereafter from \$1.5 million. The annual capital expenditures limit will increase to \$2.5 million if the Company reaches an EBITDA threshold for two consecutive quarters, beginning with the third quarter of 2008; and
- the capital expenditures exclusion for the waste water pre-treatment project was increased from \$3.0 million to \$4.3 million.

## **ITEM 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

### **Forward-Looking Information**

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to, among other things:

- our business strategy;
- the market opportunity for our products, including expected demand for our products;
- our estimates regarding our capital requirements; and
- any of our other plans, objectives, and intentions contained in this report that are not historical facts.

These statements relate to future events or future financial performance, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “plans,” “intends,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential” or “continue” or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. These statements are only predictions.

## Table of Contents

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that are, in some cases, beyond our control and that could materially affect actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Factors that could materially affect our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements include, without limitation, those detailed under the caption "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the following items:

- intense competition in our market and aggressive pricing by our competitors could force us to decrease our prices and reduce our profitability;
- a substantial percentage of our converted product revenues are attributable to three large customers which may decrease or cease purchases at any time;
- the disruption in supply or cost of waste paper;
- significant indebtedness limits our free cash flow and subjects us to restrictive covenants relating to the operation of our business;
- the availability of and prices for energy;
- our exposure to variable interest rates;
- the loss of key personnel;
- labor interruptions;
- the parent roll market is a commodity market and subject to fluctuations in demand and pricing;
- natural disaster or other disruption to our facility;
- ability to finance the capital requirements of our business;
- cost to comply with government regulations;
- failure to purchase the contracted quantity of natural gas may result in financial exposure ; and
- failure to maintain an effective system of internal controls necessary to accurately report our financial results and prevent fraud.

If any of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary significantly from what we projected. Any forward-looking statement you read in the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations reflects our current views with respect to future events and is subject to these and other risks, uncertainties, and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy, and liquidity. We assume no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements for any reasons, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### Overview

We manufacture bulk tissue paper, known as parent rolls, and convert parent rolls into a full line of tissue products, including paper towels, bathroom tissue and paper napkins for the private label segment of the consumer, or “at home,” market. We have focused our product design and manufacturing on the discount retail market, primarily the dollar store retailers, due to their consistent order patterns, limited number of stock keeping units, or SKUs, offered and the growth being experienced in this channel of the retail market. While we have customers located throughout the United States, we distribute most of our products within approximately 900 miles of our northeast Oklahoma facility, which we consider to be our cost-effective shipping area. Our products are sold primarily under our customers’ private labels and, to a lesser extent, under our brand names such as Colortex® and Velvet®. All of our revenue is derived pursuant to truck load purchase orders from our customers. While we do not have supply contracts with any of our customers, we do have commitments with certain customers to maintain our selling prices for up to one year. Revenue is recognized when title passes to the customer. Because our product is a daily consumable item, the order stream from our customer base is fairly consistent with no significant seasonal fluctuations. Changes in the national economy do not materially affect the market for our product.

Our profitability depends on several key factors, including:

- the market price of our product;
- the cost of recycled paper used in producing paper;
- the efficiency of operations in both our paper mill and converting operations; and
- energy costs.

The private label segment of the tissue industry is highly competitive, and discount retail customers are extremely price sensitive. As a result, it is difficult to affect price increases. We expect these competitive conditions to continue.

In June 2006, we began operating a new paper machine with an annual capacity of approximately 33,000 tons. The capacity of the new machine, in addition to the capacity of our older machines, increased our total production capacity to approximately 54,000 tons per year. As a result, beginning in the third quarter of 2006, we were able to eliminate the requirement to purchase recycled parent rolls on the open market. In the second quarter of 2007, due to the relatively high price of parent rolls, we began running all of our older machines on a full-time basis. Our strategy is to sell all of our parent roll capacity as converted products which have higher margins than parent rolls. We are focusing considerable efforts to improve our converting efficiencies in order to achieve that goal. Those efforts included the hiring of a productivity consultant to assist us during the third quarter of 2008 to design and implement a management operating system and to help our management team implement a new work schedule and work practices. The management operating system helped identify and confirm root causes of issues that impair production. This engagement ended in mid-September. As a result of these efforts, converting productivity from the beginning of September through mid-October improved approximately 20% compared with the run rate experienced in the first eight months of 2008.

We plan to use the additional converting production to further our penetration into existing markets including grocery store chains whose distribution centers are located close to our facility thereby allowing us to maximize our competitive freight cost advantage over our competitors who are not located within our geographic region. We plan to continue to sell any excess parent roll capacity on the open market as long as such sales are profitable.

## Table of Contents

Prior to the third quarter of 2006, we had purchased parent rolls on the open market since 1998 because our own parent roll production had not adequately supplied the requirements of our converting facility. We purchased approximately 1,700, 7,000 and 12,000 tons of paper on the open market in the years 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, to supplement our paper-making capacity.

We are obligated through March 2009 to purchase all of our natural gas requirements through a program established by our broker that utilizes a combination of fixed price contracts, options and spot purchases. Beginning in the latter part of the third quarter of 2008, prices for natural gas futures began to decrease. In response, we entered into a fixed price contract in late October 2008 with our broker for approximately 60% of our natural gas requirements at \$7.50 per MMBTU for the period from April 2009 through March 2010. The remaining 40% of our requirements for the April 2009 through March 2010 time period will be purchased under a continuation of our current program of a combination of fixed price contracts, options and spot purchases.

### **Comparative Three-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007**

#### **Net Sales**

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except average price per ton and tons)</b>	
Converted product net sales	\$ 19,300	\$ 16,482
Parent roll net sales	4,012	2,736
<b>Total net sales</b>	<b>\$ 23,312</b>	<b>\$ 19,218</b>
Total tons shipped	13,837	13,390
Average price per ton	\$ 1,685	\$ 1,435

Net sales increased 21%, to \$23.3 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$19.2 million in the same period of 2007. Net sales figures include gross selling price, including freight, less discounts and pricing allowances. Net sales of converted product increased in the quarter ended September 30, 2008 by \$2.8 million, or 17%, to \$19.3 million compared to \$16.5 million in the same period last year. Net sales of parent rolls increased \$1.3 million, or 47%, in the 2008 quarter to \$4.0 million compared to \$2.7 million in the same period last year. Net sales of converted product increased primarily due to a 21% increase in the selling price per ton which was somewhat offset by lower tonnage shipped. The increase in net selling price per ton of converted product was due to product content changes during 2008 and actual price increases which actions were undertaken to counteract increasing waste paper and energy prices. The product content changes were the primary reason for a 4% reduction in the tonnage of converted products shipped. Parent roll net sales increased primarily due to 27% increase in tonnage shipped and a 15% increase in the selling price per ton. Total shipments in the 2008 quarter increased by 447 tons, or 3%, to 13,837 tons compared to 13,390 tons in the same period of 2007. The net selling price of parent rolls increased in response to higher energy costs and waste paper prices paid in the quarter over quarter comparison. The increase in parent roll tons shipped is primarily due to increased paper making productivity.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### Cost of Sales

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(in thousands, except gross profit margin % and paper cost per ton)	
Cost of paper	\$ 11,056	\$ 9,860
Non-paper materials, labor, supplies, etc.	7,679	5,387
Sub-total	18,735	15,247
Depreciation	783	750
Cost of sales	\$ 19,518	\$ 15,997
Gross Profit	\$ 3,794	\$ 3,221
Gross Profit Margin %	16.3%	16.8%
Total paper cost per ton consumed	\$ 799	\$ 733

Major components of cost of sales are the cost of internally produced paper, raw materials, direct labor and benefits, freight costs of products shipped to customers, insurance, repairs and maintenance, energy, utilities and depreciation.

Cost of sales increased approximately \$3.5 million, or 22%, to \$19.5 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$16.0 million in the same period of 2007. Our cost of sales were negatively affected by higher paper production costs and higher converting production costs. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 83.7% in the 2008 quarter from 83.2% of net sales in the 2007 quarter. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales in the quarter ended September 30, 2008 was primarily attributed to higher paper production costs and higher converting production costs being partially offset by improved net selling prices and tonnage.

Our overall cost of paper in the third quarter of 2008 was \$799 per ton, an increase of \$66 per ton compared to the same period in 2007. Our cost per ton increased primarily due to increased waste paper prices and the higher cost of natural gas. The prices we paid for waste paper increased approximately 19% in the third quarter of 2008 compared with the same period in 2007, resulting in an increased cost of waste paper consumed of approximately \$880,000, as an overall tight waste paper market resulted in significant price increases across all grades of waste paper. The rates paid for natural gas increased approximately 34% in the third quarter of 2008 compared to the prices paid in the same quarter of 2007, resulting in increased costs of approximately \$330,000.

Converting production costs increased in the 2008 quarter over 2007 quarter primarily due to an increase of \$500,000 in our maintenance and repair costs, costs of \$274,000 associated with a productivity consultant and outside warehousing costs. The maintenance expense includes repair parts, service technicians and consultants. We expect this level of quarterly expenditure to decrease by approximately \$250,000 in the fourth quarter of 2008 and by an additional \$70,000 beginning in the first quarter of 2009 for a total reduction of \$320,000. Late in the second quarter of 2008, we engaged a productivity consultant to assist our management team in their ongoing efforts to increase converting productivity. The project included the design and implementation of a management operating system and assistance for our management team with the implementation of a new work schedule and work practices. The project ended late in the third quarter. As a result of these efforts, converting productivity from the beginning of September

## [Table of Contents](#)

through mid-October improved approximately 20% compared with the run rate experienced in the first eight months of 2008. We began using a third-party warehouse late in the first quarter of 2008 to increase our shipping capacity and provide better customer service to handle our increasing converting product shipments.

### Gross Profit

Gross profit in the quarter ended September 30, 2008 increased \$573,000 or 18%, to \$3.8 million compared to \$3.2 million in the same period last year. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in the 2008 quarter was 16.3% compared to 16.8% in the 2007 quarter. The effect of higher paper costs and higher converting production costs which were partially offset by higher net selling prices of converted products and higher parent rolls sales were the major reasons for the decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(In thousands, except SG&A as a % of net sales)	
Commission expense	\$ 260	\$ 224
Other S,G&A expenses	1,291	1,072
Selling, General & Adm exp	\$ 1,551	\$ 1,296
SG&A as a % of net sales	6.7%	6.7%

Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries, commissions to brokers and other miscellaneous expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$255,000 to \$1.6 million, or 20% compared to the \$1.3 million in the prior year quarter. Costs associated with additions to our senior management team and, to a lesser extent, increased packaging-related selling costs due to product content changes were the major reasons for the increase. As a percent of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses remained unchanged between the two periods.

### Operating Income

As a result of the foregoing factors, operating income for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 increased \$318,000, or 17%, to \$2.2 million from \$1.9 million in the same period in 2007.

### Interest Expense and Other Income

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(In thousands)	
Interest expense	\$ 310	\$ 635
Other (income) expense, net	\$ (3)	\$ (2)

Interest expense includes interest on all debt and amortization of both deferred debt issuance costs and the discount on our subordinated debt related to warrants issued with that debt. Interest expense decreased \$325,000 to \$310,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$635,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2007. Lower LIBOR interest rates and, to a lesser extent, lower margins over LIBOR reflecting improved performance under our debt covenants,

## [Table of Contents](#)

the absence of interest on our \$2.15 million aggregate principal amount of 12% subordinated debentures, which were retired in December 2007 and lower average bank borrowings all contributed to the decrease.

### **Income Before Income Taxes**

As a result of the foregoing factors, income before income taxes increased \$644,000 to \$1.9 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$1.3 million in the same period in 2007.

### **Income Tax Provision**

For the quarter ended September 30, 2008, our effective income tax rate was 27%. It is lower than the statutory rate because of Oklahoma Investment Tax Credits associated with our investment in a new paper machine and the extension of the Federal Indian Employment Credits. These factors were partially offset by state income taxes. For the quarter ended September 30, 2007, our annual effective income tax rate was 12%. The 2007 effective tax rate was lower than the statutory rate because of the Oklahoma Investment Tax Credits cited above, the utilization of Federal Indian Employment Credits and the recognition of deferred tax benefits associated with non-qualified option grants to our directors and officers, including a true-up.

No current taxes are owed to either state or federal taxing authorities because of net operating loss carry forwards for both state and federal tax purposes, Federal Indian Employment Credit carry forwards and Oklahoma Investment Tax Credit carry forwards.

### **Comparative Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007**

#### **Net Sales**

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except price per ton and tons)</b>	
Converted product net sales	\$ 53,798	\$ 48,068
Parent roll net sales	12,104	6,302
<b>Total net sales</b>	<b>\$ 65,902</b>	<b>\$ 54,370</b>
Total tons shipped	41,025	37,367
Average price per ton	\$ 1,606	\$ 1,455

Net sales increased 21% to \$65.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$54.4 million in the same period of 2007. Net sales figures include gross selling price, including freight, less discounts and pricing allowances. Net sales of converted products increased \$5.7 million, or 12%, to \$53.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$48.1 million in the same period in 2007. Net sales of parent rolls increased \$5.8 million, or 92%, to \$12.1 million in the 2008 period compared to \$6.3 million in the same period of 2007. The increase in converted product net sales was due to a 15% increase in selling prices per ton being somewhat offset by a 3% decrease in tonnage shipped. The increase in net selling price per ton of converted product was due to product content changes implemented during 2007 and 2008 and actual price increases. The product content changes were the primary reason for the reduced tonnage shipped of converted products. Net sales of parent rolls increased primarily due to a 62% increase in tonnage shipped and, to a lesser extent, a 19% increase in selling prices.

## Table of Contents

Tonnage of parent roll sales increased due to our decision in April 2007 to begin running all of our older paper machines on a full-time basis and due to improved productivity in our paper making operation.

### Cost of Sales

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(in thousands, except gross profit margin % and paper cost per ton)	
Cost of paper	\$ 33,240	\$ 27,950
Non-paper materials, labor, supplies, etc.	20,747	16,466
Sub-total	\$ 53,987	\$ 44,416
Depreciation	2,310	2,248
Cost of sales	\$ 56,297	\$ 46,664
Gross Profit	\$ 9,605	\$ 7,706
Gross Profit Margin %	14.6%	14.2%
Total paper cost per ton consumed	\$ 810	\$ 748

Major components of cost of sales are the cost of internally produced paper, raw materials, direct labor and benefits, freight costs of products shipped to customers, insurance, repairs and maintenance, energy, utilities and depreciation.

Cost of sales increased approximately \$9.6 million, or 21%, to \$56.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$46.7 million in the same period of 2007. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales decreased slightly to 85.4% in the 2008 period compared to 85.8% in the same period in 2007. Cost of sales as a percent of net sales was slightly improved as the increase in sales prices in both converted products and parent rolls was basically offset by increased paper making costs and increased costs of converting operations.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the overall cost of paper was \$810 per ton, an increase of \$62 per ton when compared to the \$748 per ton experienced in the same period in 2007. The cost per ton increased primarily due to increased waste paper prices and, to a lesser extent, increased prices in the cost of natural gas and downtime experienced on our new paper machine in January 2008 being partially offset by the effects of increased production on fixed and semi-variable costs. The prices paid for waste paper increased approximately 19% in the nine-month period of 2008 compared to the same period in 2007, resulting in an increased cost of approximately \$2.5 million. Natural gas prices increased approximately 24% in the 2008 period compared to the same period of 2007, resulting in increased costs of approximately \$790,000.

Converting production costs increased primarily in the areas of repair and maintenance, the previously discussed cost of a productivity consultant and outside warehouse expense. Repair and maintenance costs, which includes parts, service technicians and consultants, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 increased approximately \$750,000 over the same period in 2007. The previously discussed cost of the productivity consultant engagement that was completed in mid-September was approximately \$345,000 in the nine-month period. The previously discussed utilization of an outside warehouse beginning in the first quarter of 2008 resulted in an expense of approximately \$290,000 in the 2008 period. The down time experienced on our new paper machine in January 2008 totaled approximately three days of lost



## [Table of Contents](#)

production time in order to affect repairs. The unplanned shutdown was required to correct certain issues with the surface of the yankee dryer and resulted in approximately \$200,000 of increased production costs.

### Gross Profit

Gross profit in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased \$1.9 million, or 25%, to \$9.6 million compared to \$7.7 million in the same period last year. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 was 14.6% compared to 14.2% in the comparable 2007 period. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales improved slightly as the effects of the increase in net selling prices for both converted product and parent roll shipments and increased overall shipment tonnage were basically offset by higher paper costs and increased converting production costs.

The previously discussed unplanned shutdown of our new paper machine negatively affected gross profit by approximately \$330,000 due to lost production and certain related out of pocket expenses.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(In thousands, except SG&A as a % of net sales)	
Commission expense	\$ 753	\$ 702
Other S,G&A expenses	3,674	2,823
Selling, General & Adm exp	\$ 4,427	\$ 3,525
SG&A as a % of net sales	6.7%	6.5%

Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries, commissions to brokers and other miscellaneous expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$902,000, or 26%, to \$4.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$3.5 million in the comparable 2007 period, mainly as a result of costs associated with additions to our senior management team, accruals under our incentive bonus plan, and increased packaging costs related to product content changes. As a percent of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 6.7% in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to 6.5% in the same period of 2007.

### Operating Income

As a result of the foregoing factors, operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$5.2 million compared to operating income of \$4.2 million for the same period of 2007.

### Interest Expense and Other Income

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(In thousands)	
Interest expense	\$ 1,041	\$ 2,216
Other (income) expense, net	\$ (9)	\$ (29)

## [Table of Contents](#)

Interest expense decreased by \$1.2 million from \$2.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to \$1.0 million in the same period in 2008. The decrease was attributable to lower LIBOR interest rates and, to a lesser extent, lower margins over LIBOR reflecting the terms of our amended and restated credit agreement entered into in April 2007 and improved financial performance under our debt covenants since that time, the absence of interest on our subordinated debentures, which we retired in December 2007, and decreased average outstanding bank borrowings.

Other income decreased from \$29,000 in the first nine months of 2007 to \$9,000 in the first nine months of 2008, primarily due to the absence of interest on the restricted certificate of deposit which was released in connection with the closing of our credit facility.

### **Income Before Income Taxes**

As a result of the foregoing factors, income before income taxes increased \$2.2 million to \$4.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$2.0 in the same period in 2007.

### **Income Tax Provision**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, our effective income tax rate was 30%. It is lower than the statutory rate because of Oklahoma Investment Tax Credits associated with our investment in a new paper machine and the extension of the Federal Indian Employment Credits. These factors were partially offset by state income taxes. As of September 30, 2007, our effective income tax rate was 12%. The 2007 effective tax rate was lower than the statutory rate because of the Oklahoma Investment Tax Credits cited above, the utilization of Federal Indian Employment Credits and the recognition of deferred tax benefits associated with non-qualified option grants to our directors and officers, including a true-up.

No current taxes are owed to either state or federal taxing authorities because of net operating loss carry forwards for both state and federal tax purposes, Federal Indian Employment Credit carry forwards and Oklahoma Investment Tax Credit carry forwards.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity refers to the liquid financial assets available to fund our business operations and pay for near-term obligations. These liquid financial assets consist of cash as well as unused borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility. Our cash requirements have historically been satisfied through a combination of cash flows from operations and debt financings.

On November 5, 2008, we amended our credit agreement with our existing bank group as follows:

- the capital expenditures facility was increased to \$4.0 million from \$3.0 million, with advances based on 90% of costs as compared to 80% of costs prior to the amendment;
- the capital expenditures covenant limit for 2008 was increased to \$6.4 million from \$6.25 million, and was increased to \$2.0 million annually thereafter from \$1.5 million. The annual capital expenditures limit will increase to \$2.5 million if we reach an EBITDA threshold of \$3.0 million for two consecutive quarters, beginning with the third quarter of 2008; and

## [Table of Contents](#)

- the capital expenditures exclusion for the waste water pre-treatment project was increased from \$3.0 million to \$4.3 million.

We amended the capital expenditures facility to accommodate the anticipated increase in the total cost of the waste water pre-treatment project from \$3.0 million to \$4.3 million. The cost of the project increased from the original estimate due to several factors, including increased project capacity requirements, which resulted in increased equipment costs.

Our loan agreement, which is more fully discussed later in this section, is a floating rate agreement, primarily based on LIBOR. We set our LIBOR rates on a 30, 60 or 90-day basis. The current economic and credit crisis has resulted in major swings in many markets, including LIBOR. As an example, during the third quarter of 2008, our LIBOR rate averaged approximately 2.47%. The 30-day rate we entered into on October 10, 2008 was 4.29%, an increase of approximately 200 basis points. As of November 6, the 30-day LIBOR rate available to us was 1.96%. The 200 basis point increase we experienced in October would result in approximately \$500,000 in increased interest expense, if the higher rate was in effect for a full twelve months. Our total debt service requirement would remain unchanged because our monthly payments are a set amount, and changes in interest rates only affect the amount of the payment allocated between principal and interest.

A copy of this amendment to the credit agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.2 to this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Cash increased in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 by \$9,000 to \$12,000.

The following table summarizes key cash flow information for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(in thousands)	
Cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 3,701	\$ 5,110
Investing activities	\$ (4,159)	\$ 1,023
Financing activities	\$ 467	\$ (6,133)

Cash flow provided by operating activities was \$3.7 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, which primarily resulted from earnings before non-cash charges, higher accounts payable and accrued expenses, partly offset by increased trade receivables and inventories.

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$4.2 million in the first nine months of 2008 as the result of expenditures on capital projects, primarily the previously announced \$4.75 million project to automate certain operations in our converting plant.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$467,000 in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and was primarily attributable to 2.1 million of net borrowings under the revolving

## Table of Contents

credit agreement, which were partially offset by \$1.7 million of principal payments on our term loans.

Cash flow provided by operating activities was \$5.1 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, which primarily consisted of earnings before non-cash charges and an increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, which was partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable reflecting increased sales in the third quarter.

Cash flows from investing activities were \$1.0 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, reflecting the release of the \$1.5 million restricted certificate of deposit in connection with the re-financing of the Company's credit facility. Several maintenance capital expenditure projects were partly offsetting.

Cash used in financing activities was \$6.1 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and was primarily attributable to a net reduction in the revolving credit balance reflecting, in part, the application of the \$1.5 million restricted certificate of deposit and repayments of principal on our term loans, partly offset by net additional term loan borrowings in connection with the re-financing of the Company's bank debt in April 2007. The effect of these incremental term loan borrowings was to reduce the revolving credit balance.

On November 5, 2008, we amended our credit facility as previously described. Following the amendment, the credit facility consists of the following:

- an \$8.0 million revolving credit facility with a three-year term; (\$2.2 million outstanding at September 30, 2008). In addition, \$681,000 of bank overdrafts are included with long-term debt at September 30, 2008. The borrowing base for the revolving credit facility is determined by adding qualified receivables and inventory. At September 30, 2008, the borrowing base for the revolving credit facility was \$8.0 million;
- a \$10.0 million term loan A with a ten-year term that has no principal repayments for the first 24 months of the loan and then will be amortized as if the loan had an 18-year life (\$10.0 million outstanding at September 30, 2008);
- a \$16.5 million term loan B with a four-year term that is being amortized as if the loan had a six-year life (\$13.2 million outstanding at September 30, 2008); and
- a \$4.0 million capital expenditures facility with a four-year term that will be amortized as if the loan had a five-year life (\$0 outstanding at September 30, 2008).

Under the terms of the amended credit agreement, amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility bear interest at our election at the prime rate or LIBOR plus a margin and amounts outstanding under term loans A and B and the capital expenditures facility bear interest at LIBOR plus a margin. The margin is set quarterly and based on the ratio of funded debt to EBITDA less income taxes paid. Amounts outstanding under term loan A bear interest at LIBOR plus 180 basis points. For the revolving credit facility, the margin ranges from a negative 50 basis points to 150 basis points for prime rate loans and 200 to 375 basis points for LIBOR-based loans. For term loan B, the margin ranges from 200 basis points to 300 basis points over LIBOR. For the capital expenditures facility, which we expect to utilize in conjunction with the construction of our new environmental pre-treatment facility by August 1, 2009, the margin ranges from 150 basis points to 250 basis points over LIBOR. Additionally, the interest rate under the capital expenditures facility is the greater of LIBOR plus the applicable margin or 4%. At September 30, 2008, our weighted average borrowing rate was 4.71% under this credit agreement as compared to 7.89% at September 30, 2007.

## Table of Contents

The credit agreement contains covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain a specific funded debt to EBITDA ratio, debt service coverage ratio and an annual limit on un-financed capital expenditures. In connection with the re-financing, the \$1.5 million restricted certificate of deposit was released and applied to the revolving credit facility. The amount available under the revolving credit line may be reduced in the event that our borrowing base, which is based upon our qualified receivables and qualified inventory, is less than \$8.0 million. Obligations under the amended and restated credit agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets. The agreement contains representations and warranties, and affirmative and negative covenants customary for financings of this type, including, but not limited to, a covenant prohibiting us from declaring or paying dividends. The financial covenants in the agreement require us to maintain specific ratios of funded debt to EBITDA and debt service coverage which are tested as of the end of each quarter and places a limit on the amount of annual non-financed capital expenditures. The maximum allowable funded debt to EBITDA ratio is 4.0-to-1.0 and the minimum allowable debt service coverage ratio is 1.25-to-1.0. Our annual expenditures for non-financed capital equipment are limited to \$6.4 million for fiscal year 2008 and \$2.0 million per fiscal year thereafter until we achieve an EBITDA level of \$3.0 million for two consecutive quarters at which time the annual limit will increase to \$2.5 million.

The capital expenditures facility is intended to fund an expansion of our wastewater pre-treatment facilities. A new water discharge permit was issued effective August 1, 2007 which requires us to expand our existing pre-treatment facility to reduce biological oxygen demand and total suspended solids from our discharge water. Under the new permit, we are required to complete the expansion and make operational our pre-treatment facility by August 1, 2009. The engineering phase of the project has been completed and the project is expected to cost approximately \$4.3 million. The cost of this facility is expected to be financed through a \$4.0 million construction loan from our bank lenders and from the cash we generate. As of September 30, 2008, there were no amounts outstanding under this loan. Based upon the engineering work completed to date, we expect the project to be fully operational by July 2009.

If an event of default occurs, the agent may declare the banks' obligation to make loans terminated and all outstanding indebtedness, and all other amounts payable under the credit agreement, due and payable.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The preparation of our financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenue and expense, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various other factors and circumstances. Management believes that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances; however, actual results may vary from these estimates and assumptions under different future circumstances. We have identified the following critical accounting policies that affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements:

*Accounts Receivable.* Accounts receivable consist of amounts due to us from normal business activities. Our management must make estimates of accounts receivable that will not be collected. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's creditworthiness as determined by our review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our

## [Table of Contents](#)

customers and maintain an allowance for estimated losses based on historical experience and specific customer collection issues that we have identified. Trade receivables are written-off when all reasonable collection efforts have been exhausted, including, but not limited to, external third party collection efforts and litigation. While such credit losses have historically been within management's expectations and the allowance provided, there can be no assurance that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates as in the past. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, provisions for doubtful accounts were recognized in the amount of \$46,000 and \$15,000, respectively for each period. In addition, \$3,000 of recoveries of accounts previously written off was credited to the allowance during the first nine months of 2008. There were no recoveries credited during the first nine months of 2007. One accounts receivable balance of \$3,000 was written off in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and nothing was written off in the first nine months of 2007.

*Inventory.* Our inventory consists of finished goods and raw materials and is stated at the lower of cost or market. Our management regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand and records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based on the age of the inventory and forecasts of product demand. A significant decrease in demand could result in an increase in the amount of excess inventory quantities on hand. During the first nine months of 2008, \$90,000 was provided and there were charges totaling \$74,000 against the valuation reserve. The charges against the reserve were primarily the result of the product content changes made or in the process of being made to our converted products and the resultant effect on certain raw materials, primarily poly wrapping material, used in the converting process. During the first nine months of 2007, \$65,000 was provided and \$8,000 was charged against the reserve.

### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") periodically issues new accounting standards in a continuing effort to improve standards of financial accounting and reporting. We have reviewed the recently issued pronouncements and concluded that the following new accounting standards could be applicable to us:

In December 2007, the FASB revised SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations" to clarify certain issues regarding business combinations. The major impact on us would be the requirement to write-off certain costs of completing an acquisition as incurred. The standard is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2008.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160 "Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements." At present this standard would not apply to us as we have no related entities which qualify for consolidation. The standard is effective for minority interest accounting for periods beginning after December 15, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active." As Orchids does not currently own any such assets, this pronouncement is not applicable to the Company.

### **Non-GAAP Discussion**

In addition to our Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") results, we also consider non-GAAP measures of our performance for a number of purposes. We use EBITDA as a supplemental measure of our performance that is not required by, or presented in accordance with, GAAP. EBITDA is not a measurement of our financial performance under GAAP and

## [Table of Contents](#)

should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income or any other performance measure derived in accordance with GAAP, or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities or a measure of our liquidity.

EBITDA represents net income before net interest expense, income tax expense, depreciation and amortization. We believe EBITDA facilitates operating performance comparisons from period to period and company to company by eliminating potential differences caused by variations in capital structures (affecting relative interest expense), tax positions (such as the impact on periods or companies of changes in effective tax rates or net operating losses) and the age and book depreciation of facilities and equipment (affecting relative depreciation expense).

EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for any of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

- it does not reflect our cash expenditures for capital assets;
- it does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;
- it does not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our indebtedness;
- although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA does not reflect cash requirements for such replacements; and
- other companies, including other companies in our industry, may calculate these measures differently than we do, limiting their usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or to reduce our indebtedness. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA on a supplemental basis.

The following table reconciles EBITDA to net income for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
	<b>(In thousands, except % of net sales)</b>	
Net income	\$ 1,423	\$ 1,136
Plus: Interest expense	310	635
Plus: Income tax expense	513	156
Plus: Depreciation	783	750
EBITDA	\$ 3,029	\$ 2,677
% of net sales	13.0%	13.9%

EBITDA increased \$352,000 to \$3.0 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$2.7 million in the same period in 2007. EBITDA as a percent of net sales decreased to 13.0% in the third quarter of 2008 from 13.9% in the third quarter of 2007. The foregoing factors discussed in the net sales, cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses are the reasons for the decline.

## Table of Contents

The following table reconciles EBITDA to net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

	<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
	<u>(In thousands,</u>	
	<u>except % of net sales)</u>	
Net income	\$ 2,921	\$ 1,748
Plus: Interest expense	1,041	2,216
Plus: Income tax expense	1,225	246
Plus: Depreciation	2,310	2,248
EBITDA	\$ 7,497	\$ 6,458
% of net sales	11.4%	11.9%

EBITDA increased \$1.0 million to \$7.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$6.5 million in the same period of 2007. EBITDA as a percent of net sales declined 0.5% to 11.4% in the current nine-month period from 11.9% in the prior year nine-month period. The foregoing factors discussed in the net sales, cost of sales and selling, general and administrative sections are the reasons for these changes.

### **ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

Our market risks relate primarily to changes in interest rates. Our revolving line of credit and our term loans carry variable interest rates that are tied to market indices and, therefore, our statement of income and our cash flows will be exposed to changes in interest rates. As of September 30, 2008, we have borrowings totaling \$25.4 million that carry a variable interest rate. Outstanding balances under our line of credit and term loans bear interest at the prime rate or LIBOR, plus a margin based upon the debt service coverage ratio or a fixed margin over LIBOR. Based on the borrowings on September 30, 2008, a 100 basis point change in interest rates would result in a \$254,000 change to our annual interest expense.

### **ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures**

Our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2008.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended September 30, 2008, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

### ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Other than as set forth below, as of the date of this filing, there have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 18, 2008. We operate in a changing environment that involves numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could materially affect our operations. The risks, uncertainties and other factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and below may cause our actual results, performances and achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements. If any of these risks or events occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected.

*The parent roll market is a commodity market and subject to fluctuations in demand and pricing.*

Following the start-up of our new paper machine in July 2006, our parent roll production exceeded the requirements of our converting operation, which excess tonnage we sell as parent rolls. In addition, in the second quarter of 2007, due to relatively high parent roll prices, we began running all of our older paper machines on a full-time basis, thereby increasing the amount of excess paper. The demand for parent rolls has been relatively high compared to supply for several years, however fluctuations in demand, primarily in the away-from-home market, can affect the supply-demand balance. A significant reduction in demand can result in an over-supply situation if parent roll producers do not adjust capacity, which could negatively affect the market price for parent rolls. A significant reduction in parent roll selling prices could reduce our revenues and decrease our profits and could cause us to shut down some of our excess paper making capacity.

*Failure to purchase the contracted quantity of natural gas may result in financial exposure.*

In October 2008, we entered into a contract to purchase 334,000 MMBTU natural gas requirements at \$7.50 per MMBTU for the period from April 2009 through March 2010. This represents approximately 60% of our natural gas requirements based on our usage rates for 2009. A significant interruption in our parent roll production due to tornado, fire, or other natural disaster, adverse market conditions or mechanical failure could reduce our natural gas requirements to a level below that of our contracted amount. If this situation occurs and the market price for natural gas at that time is less than our contracted price, we could be required under the terms of our agreement to reimburse our gas supplier for their lost revenue on the pricing difference on the amount of natural gas purchased under the contract amount.

### ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

#### *(a) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities*

None.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### *(b) Initial Public Offering and Use of Proceeds from the Sale of Registered Securities*

None.

### *(c) Repurchases of Equity Securities*

We do not have any programs to repurchase shares of our common stock and no such repurchases were made during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

### **ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

### **ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None.

### **ITEM 5. Other Information**

On November 5, 2008, we amended our credit agreement with our existing bank group. This amendment is described in more detail in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

### **ITEM 6. Exhibits**

See the Exhibit Index following the signature page to this Form 10-Q, which Exhibit Index is hereby incorporated by reference herein.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY**

Date: November 10, 2008

By: /s/ Keith R. Schroeder

Keith R. Schroeder  
Chief Financial Officer  
(On behalf of the registrant and as Chief  
Accounting Officer)

**Exhibit Index**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-124173) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 19, 2005.
3.1.1	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant incorporated by reference to the Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2007.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-124173) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 19, 2005.
10.1#	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated August 22, 2008, between Robert A. Snyder and the Company.
10.2	Amendment Three to Second Amended and Restated Agented Credit Agreement dated November 5, 2008 among the Registrant, Bank of Oklahoma, N.A., BancFirst and Commerce Bank, N.A.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906.

---

# Indicates management contract or compensatory plan

**AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT**

This amendment to the Executive Employment Agreement (“Amendment”), effective August 22, 2008, is made by and between Orchids Paper Products Company (“Company”) and Robert Snyder (“Executive”) and amends the Executive Employment Agreement, dated and made effective August 20, 2007 (“Agreement”), between the Company and the Executive. Except as amended herein, the Agreement remains in full force and effect.

WHEREAS, the Company and the Executive previously entered into the Agreement to provide for the Executive’s services as President and Chief Executive Officer of Company;

WHEREAS, the Company and the Executive reserved the right to amend the Agreement pursuant to Section 3.10 of the Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Executive has been unable to sell his residence in Memphis, Tennessee, and, as a result, will incur significant temporary living and travel expenses following the expiration of his Company-paid temporary living arrangement on August 20, 2008; and

WHEREAS, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company deems it appropriate and advisable to amend the Agreement to provide for a one-time lump sum payment of \$40,000 to compensate the Executive for continuing temporary living and travel expenses;

NOW, THEREFORE, effective August 22, 2008, the Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

**1. Section 2.3(f) is amended by adding the following sentences to the end thereof:**

“The Executive shall cease receiving the foregoing reimbursements effective August 20, 2008. The Executive shall be entitled to a one-time lump sum payment in the amount of \$40,000, payable immediately following August 20, 2008, intended to compensate him for continuing temporary living expenses and travel expenses. No additional payments or reimbursements shall be made to the Executive under this Agreement for temporary living or travel expenses.”

**2. Section 2.4(e) is deleted and replaced by the following:**

- (e) Limitation on Certain Additional Payments. Anything in this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding, in the event it is determined that any payment or distribution by the Company to or for the benefit of the Executive (“Payments”) would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, then the Payments under this Agreement shall be decreased to the greatest
-

amount that could be paid to the Executive such that receipt of Payments will not give rise to any such excise tax. In the event it is determined that Payments would be subject to the excise tax imposed under Section 4999 of the Code, such Payments shall be first reduced by those Payments under this Agreement that are not subject to Section 409A of the Code, and, if necessary, then out of the Payments that are subject to Section 409A of the Code, starting with the Payments that are to be paid on the latest future date, so that there will be no Payments subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Amendment to the Agreement has been signed by the parties hereto on the date set forth below.

ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY

ROBERT SNYDER

By /s/ Keith R. Schroeder

/s/ Robert Snyder

Date 8/22/2008

Date 8/22/2008

**AMENDMENT THREE TO SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED  
AGENTED CREDIT AGREEMENT**

This Amendment Three to Second Amended and Restated Agented Credit Agreement (“Amendment”) is dated effective November 5, 2008, among **ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY**, a Delaware corporation (“Borrower”), and **BANK OF OKLAHOMA, N.A.** (“BOK”), **BANCFIRST**, and **COMMERCE BANK, N.A.** (individually a “Bank” and collectively the “Banks”), and **BANK OF OKLAHOMA, N.A.**, as agent for the Banks hereunder (in such capacity, “Agent”).

**RECITALS**

A. Reference is made to the Second Amended and Restated Agented Credit Agreement by and among Borrower, Banks and Agent, dated April 9, 2007, and amended October 25, 2007 and March 6, 2008 (as amended, the “Credit Agreement”), pursuant to which currently exists (i) a term loan in the original principal amount of \$10,000,000; (ii) a term loan in the original principal amount of \$16,500,000; (iii) an \$8,000,000 revolving line of credit; and (iv) a construction loan in the original principal amount of \$3,000,000. Terms used herein shall have the meanings given in the Credit Agreement, unless otherwise defined herein.

B. Borrower has requested that Banks (i) increase the commitment under the construction loan to \$4,000,000, and (ii) modify the covenant limiting capital expenditures; and Banks have agreed to Borrower’s request, subject to the terms and conditions of this Amendment.

**AGREEMENT**

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the representations and warranties contained herein and for valuable consideration received, the parties agree to the following:

1. Amendments to the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1.1. Section 1.19 (Completion Date) is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

“1.19 ‘Completion Date’ shall mean the earlier of (i) the completion of construction of the Improvements, or (ii) November 5, 2009.”

1.2. Sections 1.21 (Construction Loan) and 1.22 (Construction Notes) are hereby amended to reflect that the amount “\$3,000,000” is hereby deleted and replaced with “\$4,000,000”.

1.3. Section 1.85 (Rate Management Transaction) is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

“1.85 ‘Rate Management Transaction’ means any transaction, (including an agreement with respect thereto) now existing or hereafter entered into by the Borrower and any of the Banks, or any Bank’s subsidiaries or affiliates or their successors, which is a rate swap, basis swap, forward rate transaction, commodity swap, commodity option, equity or equity index swap, equity or equity index option, bond option, interest rate option, foreign exchange transaction, cap transaction, floor transaction, collar transaction, forward transaction, currency swap transaction,

---

cross-currency rate swap transaction, currency option or any other similar transaction (including any option with respect to any of these transactions) or any combination thereof, whether linked to one or more interest rates, foreign currencies, commodity prices, equity prices or other financial measures.”

1.4. Section 2.4 (Construction Loan) is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

“2.4 Construction Loan. Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and so long as no Event of Default has occurred, each Bank agrees to loan to Borrower such amounts up to said Bank’s Pro Rata Share of the lesser of (i) the aggregate principal amount of \$4,000,000, or (ii) ninety percent (90%) of the cost for construction of the Improvements, as Borrower may request from time to time on or before the Construction Loan Termination Date (hereinafter defined), to be further evidenced by the Construction Notes. Advances pursuant to the Construction Loan are not revolving and once amounts are advanced and/or repaid pursuant to the Construction Notes, they cannot be reborrowed.”

1.5. Section 10.4 (Capital Expenditures) is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

“10.4 Capital Expenditures. Not make expenditures for fixed or capital assets if, after giving effect thereto, the aggregate of all such expenditures, excluding expenditures for the water pre-treatment facility not to exceed \$4,300,000, would exceed (i) \$6,400,000.00 during Borrower’s fiscal year ending December 31, 2008, and (ii) the CapEx Limit (defined below) during any fiscal year of Borrower thereafter. For purposes of this Section 10.4, the term “CapEx Limit” shall mean \$2,000,000; provided, however, that commencing with the date on which Agent receives financial statements of Borrower evidencing that Borrower has maintained an EBITDA of not less than \$3,000,000 for two (2) consecutive fiscal quarters, the CapEx Limit shall be increased to \$2,500,000.”

1.6. A new Section 12.1(15) (subparagraph under Events of Default) is hereby added, as follows:

“(15) The occurrence or existence of any default, event of default or other similar condition or event (however described) with respect to any Rate Management Transaction.”

2. Conditions Precedent. This Amendment and each Bank’s commitments hereunder are conditioned upon satisfaction of the following at or before closing.

2.1. Borrower shall execute and deliver to Banks this Amendment.

2.2. Borrower shall execute and deliver the promissory notes payable to each Bank in amounts based on each Bank’s Pro Rata Share of \$4,000,000.

2.3. Borrower shall provide any and all documents, agreements and instruments related to this transaction, reasonably requested by the Banks.

3. Borrower Ratification. Borrower hereby ratifies and confirms the Credit Agreement, and all instruments, documents and/or agreements executed and/or delivered by Borrower to Bank

---



in connection therewith, and represents to Banks that: (i) the Loan Documents remain in full force and effect; (ii) all representations and warranties made thereunder are true and correct as of the date hereof; and (iii) no Event of Default exists or will result from the execution of this Amendment.

4. Governing Law and Binding Effect. This Amendment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto, their successors and assigns.

5. Costs, Expenses and Fees. Borrower agrees to pay all costs, expenses and fees incurred by the Banks or otherwise in connection herewith, including, without limitation, all reasonable attorney fees, costs and expenses of Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis.

6. Multiple Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, and all the counterparts taken together shall be deemed to constitute one and the same instrument.

7. Further Assurances. Borrower will immediately execute and deliver to the Banks upon request all such other and further instruments as may be required or desired by the Banks from time to time in compliance with or in accomplishment of the covenants and agreements of Borrower made in this Amendment and such other instruments and documents referred to or mentioned herein, all as may be necessary or appropriate in connection therewith.

[Signature Pages to Follow]

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be duly executed.

“Borrower”

**ORCHIDS PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY**

By /s/ Keith R. Schroeder  
Keith R. Schroeder, Chief Financial Officer

---

“Banks”

**BANK OF OKLAHOMA, N.A.**, as a Bank and Agent

By /s/ Dan Hughes

Dan Hughes, Senior Vice President

---

**BANCFIRST**

By /s/ Elisabeth F. Blue  
Elisabeth F. Blue, Executive Vice President

---

**COMMERCE BANK, N.A.**

By /s/ Dennis R. Block  
Dennis R. Block, Senior Vice President

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302**

I, Robert A. Snyder, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Orchids Paper Products Company (the “Registrant”);
  2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
  3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
  4. The Registrant’s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
    - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
    - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
    - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
    - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
  5. The Registrant’s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
-

- a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2008

/s/ Robert A. Snyder

Robert A. Snyder

Chief Executive Officer and President

**Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302**

I, Keith R. Schroeder, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Orchids Paper Products Company (the “Registrant”);
  2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
  3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
  4. The Registrant’s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
    - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared
    - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
    - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
    - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
  5. The Registrant’s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
-



- a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2008

/s/ Keith R. Schroeder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Keith R. Schroeder  
Chief Financial Officer

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906**

In connection with the quarterly report of Orchids Paper Products Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robert A. Snyder, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Robert A. Snyder

Robert A. Snyder

Chief Executive Officer and President

November 10, 2008

**Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906**

In connection with the quarterly report of Orchids Paper Products Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Keith R. Schroeder, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Keith R. Schroeder

Keith R. Schroeder  
Chief Financial Officer  
November 10, 2008